Charley Lake



Macrophyte and Biovolume Survey 8/04/17

This document contains two types of vegetation data collected on Charley Lake. The first section details the methods and findings of a point intercept survey of macrophyte vegetation. The second section details the methods and results of a vegetation bio-volume survey.

Data collected and prepared by **Ramsey Conservation District** for

Vadnais Lake Area Water Management Organization 800 East County Rd E. Vadnais Heights, MN 55127

Phone: (651) 204-6073 http://www.vlawmo.org/



Figure 1. Location of Charley Lake (red) in Ramsey County within VLAWMO borders

Charley Lake Macrophyte Survey

August 4, 2017

Methods:

The point intercept method incorporating aerial photography and a Lowrance HDS-5[™] Global Positioning System (GPS) was used to assess the aquatic macrophyte community on Charley Lake on August 4, 2017. Samples were taken at twenty-three evenly spaced (80 m) geo-referenced points (Figure 2). Data on depth, plant species, and abundance rank was recorded as displayed in Tables 2 and 3 and in the maps of this report. A secchi disk measurement was also taken in the center of the lake on the shady side of the boat, with results in Table 3.

A double-tined metal rake attached to an 11-meter rope was used to collect specimens. At each point, the device was thrown out approximately 1 meter and then dragged across the substrate for approximately one meter. Species were identified and given a ranking based on cover of rake tines (Table 1). Plant species that were floating in the water at the collection points were also counted.

Table 1

Abundance rankings for percent cover of rake tines							
Percent Cover of Tines	Abundance Ranking						
81-100	5						
61-80	4						
41-60	3						
21-40	2						
1-20	1						

Results:

Aquatic macrophytes were found at 14 of 23 points surveyed (Figure 2). Coontail (*Ceratophyllum demersum*), Canada Waterweed (*Elodea canadensis*), and Curly Leaf Pondweed (*Potamogeton crispus*) were the most common species, found at over half of the points where vegetation was detected (at least 7). Other prominent species, detected at six survey points, were Northern Watermilfoil (*Myriophyllum sibiricum*) and Filamentous Algae (*Spirogyra/Cladophora sp.*). Found at one survey point each were Water Stargrass (*Heteranthera dubia*), Lesser Duckweed (*Lemna minor*), Star Duckweed (*Lemna trisulca*), White Water Lily (*Nymphaea odorata*), and Leafy Pondweed (*Potamogeton foliosus*). Eurasian Watermilfoil (*Myriophyllum* spicatum), Greater Duckweed (*Spirodela polyriza*), Sago Pondweed (*Potamogeton pectinatus*), Yellow Water Lily (*Nuphar lutea*); and Watermeal (*Wolffia*) were also observed in the lake, though not at any survey points. The secchi disk reading was 1.5m (4.9 ft) (Table 3).

Table 2

1	0		, ,	0,
			Average	Percent
Species	Common Name	Scientific Name	Abundance	Occurrence
			8/4/2017	8/4/2017
1	Coontail	Ceratophyllum demersum	2.85	93%
2	Canada Waterweed	Elodea canadensis	2.25	86%
3	Curly Leaf Pondweed	Potamogeton crispus	1.13	57%
4	Filamentous Algae	Spirogyra/Cladophora sp	2.83	43%
5	Northern Watermilfoil	Myriophyllum sibiricum	1	43%
6	Leafy Pondweed	Potamogeton foliosus	2	7%
7	Water Stargrass	Heteranthera dubia	1	7%
8	White Water Lily	Nymphaea odorata	1	7%
9	Star Duckweed	Lemna trisulca	1	7%
10	Lesser Duckweed	Lemna minor	1	7%

% Occurrence & Avg Abundance of aquatic plant taxa present on Charley Lake, Aug 4, 2017

Also observed: Watermeal, Greater Duckweed, Yellow Water Lily, Sago Pondweed, Eurasian Watermilfoil

Note. Percent occurrence represents the number of times a plant species was observed divided by the number of total sample sites where vegetation was observed. Average abundance is calculated as the average of the abundance ranking for an individual species present.

Table 3

Denth.	secchi disk and	veaetation	abundance	noint survev	results.	August 4, 201	17
Deptil,	Secon alsk and	regetation	abanaanee	point survey	results,	, iugust 4, 201	

-1		- 3		1			-			
Point	Coontail	Curly Leaf Pondweed	Canada Waterw eed	Northern Watermil foil	Filament ous Algae	Leafy Pondweed	Water Stargrass	Star Duck weed	White Water Lily	Lesser Duckweed
1	4	1	1	1	•					
2	2	1								
3										
4										
5	4		2		2					
6										
7										
8	4	1	1	1						
9	3		2	1						
10										
11										
12	3	2	2	1						
13	1	1	1	1						
14										
15										
16	1	1	2		1			1		
17	1								1	
18										
19	3		3				1			
20	5	1	3	1	4					
21			5		5					1
22	2		4		3					
23	4	1	1		2	2				
Total										
Abundance	37	9	27	6	17	2	1	1	1	1
Count	13	8	12	6	6	1	1	1	1	1
Avg. Abundance	2.85	1.13	2.25	1.00	2.83	2.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
% Occurrence	93%	57%	86%	43%	43%	7%	7%	7%	7%	7%
		7								
Secchi Depth:	1.5m									



Figure 2. Charley Lake vegetation point intercept survey locations. N=23.

Charley Lake Biovolume Survey

August 4, 2017

Methods:

A Lowrance HDS-5_{TM} Global Positioning System (GPS)-enabled depth finder was used to collect submerged aquatic vegetation biovolume data on Charley Lake on August 4, 2017. The lake was transected at a distance of 40 meters between transects at a speed of no more than 4 miles per hour. Sonar log data was recorded using the Lowrance HDS-5_{TM} Global Positioning System (GPS)-enabled depth finder to assess this data. Transducer data was processed using Contour Innovations, LLC, BioBase software.

Results:

The results below were produced by exporting the processed data from the BioBase system and interpolating spatial data using ArcGIS software. Results include maps as well as statistics of biovolume distribution represented as total percent of water column occupied by plant matter ranging from zero to one hundred. Interactive map data, including sonar log trip replays, can be viewed on the BioBase website:www.cibiobase.com.



A	Area of Interest Summary										
AOL -											
AUI ?	Type ?	PAC ?	Avg Bvp ?	SD BAb 5	AVg BVW ?	SD BVW ?	Depth Range	Avg Depth	Distance	No. Points	
1	Point	51.3%	72.9%	±31.6%	37.4%	±42.9%	0.31-4.75 m	1.25 m	5.1 km	1,761	
	Grid	68.7%	66%	±29.4%	45.3%	±39.1%	0-4.69 m	1.74 m	-	3,656	

	Biovolume Analysis by Quantity										
AOI ?	0-5%	5-20%	20-40%	40-60%	60-80%	>80%					
1	48.67%	6.64%	3.69%	3.92%	8.23%	28.85%					

Biovolume Analysis by Depth

A01 ?	Depth	Type ?	Count	PAC ?	Avg BVp ?	SD BVp ?	Avg BVw ?	SD BVw ?
1	0-1m	Point	460	98.3%	86.3%	±21.8%	84.8%	±24.4%
	1-2m		505	76.4%	65.3%	±31.5%	49.9%	±39%
	2-3m		357	18.5%	25.4%	±28.8%	4.7%	±15.8%
	3-4m		314	0%	-	-	0%	±0%
	4-5m		125	0%	-	-	0%	±0%
	5-6m		0	-	-	-	-	-
	6-7m		0	-	-	-	-	-
	7-8m		0	-	-	-	-	-
	8-9m		0	-	-	-	-	-
	>9m		0	-	-	-	-	-
	0-1m	Grid	1529	99.3%	81.5%	±19.5%	80.9%	±20.5%
	1-2m		824	95.4%	49.4%	±24.2%	47.1%	±25.8%
	2-3m		425	43.8%	16.5%	±11.2%	7.2%	±11%
	3-4m		650	2.9%	7.7%	±2.1%	0.2%	±1.3%
	4-5m		228	0%	-	-	0%	±0%
	5-6m		0	-	-	-	-	-
	6-7m		0	-	-	-	-	-
	7-8m		0	-	-	-	-	-
	8-9m		0	-	-	-	-	-
	>9m		0	-	-	-	-	-

Glossary

AOI

Area of Interest: Defines the individual transects or contiguous data samples as depicted by the color coding of each trip line. Seperate areas of interest can be generated through merging of multiple trips, appending data to a single sonar log or lapses in time (greater than five minutes) within a sonar log.

BVp

Biovolume (Plant):: Refers to the percentage of the water column taken up by vegetation when vegetation exists. Areas that do not have any vegetation are not taken into consideration for this calculation.

BVw

Biovolume (All water): Refers to the average percentage of the water column taken up by vegetation regardless of whether vegetation exists. In areas where no vegetation exists, a zero value is entered into the calculation, thus reducing the overall biovolume of the entire area covered by the survey.

PAC

Percent Area Covered: Refers to the overall surface area that has vegetation growing.

Grid

Geostatistical Interpolated Grid: Interpolated and evenly spaced values representing kriged (smoothed) output of aggregated data points. The gridded data is most accurate summary of individual survey areas.

Point

Individual Coordinate Point: A single point represents a summary of sonar pings and the derived bottom and canopy depths. Individual point data create an irregularily spaced dataset that may have overlaps and/or gaps in the data resulting in a increased potential for error.

Figure 3. Charley Lake BioBase survey summary statistics.



Figure 4. Charley Lake vegetation biovolume with 3ft contours. Blue = 0% and Red = 100%